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Citation   
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Author’s credentials   
The author is a writer for informationweek. They seem to have done much research and know what they are talking about quite thoroughly.  
Scope and purpose of the work   
This is an informational report on how businesses do not protect themselves from cyber attacks when they should.  
Intended audience   
This article is intended for the general public to inform them of business wrong doings.

**Summary:**

Identify the author’s thesis.   
The author takes a position against business. He argues that companies do not do all they can to protect their customers. It is the companies’ job to secure their systems to protect their customers.   
What are the main arguments?   
The thesis of this article is that companies are letting down their customers and they should pay for this. He argues that people think that these cyber attacks are unavoidable and that the hackers are much like Gods. Conry-Murray is trying to explain that this is not the case and the companies should be held accountable for the system breaches.

**Evidence?**

There are many things that the author talks about to support his topic. One of these examples is when Sony Entertainment let a huge breach in there system go through and had trouble stopping it. Things like this cause many problems, problems that the company should be held accountable for. This argument helps support my topic of how important hacking into your own systems to protect yourself can be. If some of the companies that let security breaches happen much like Sony did had better security, the breach would have never happened at all.

**Evaluation**

Evaluation of research   
Yes the topic is well research the author found many examples of companies’ security flaws being exploited. He made his topic very clear cut and easy to understand for the general person.  
Evaluation of scope   
Yes the topic was addressed very well, he used many examples to support his topic and explain the importance of security.  
Evaluation of author bias   
The author attacks business for many of the security short comings that they have. He motivated to increase security in companies by informing the public of the short comings they have and how hackers can be stopped. He wants people to hold the company accountable for the problems they have.

**Reflection**

Yes this article as very helpful toward my research. I learned just how many companies allow themselves to be attacked by hackers. This just goes to show how important hacking can be to give more security. These companies could have put a little more money into securing their systems and the breaches would likely have never happened. This allowed for much more back round of the problems lack of security can cause. Securing your system is important and this proves how little people do to protect themselves.